UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 1:14-cr-00214-27

Plaintiff,

:

VS. :

OPINION & ORDER [Resolving Doc. 1344]

TONY GOODWIN,

:

Defendant.

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Tony Goodwin moved pro se for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(ii).<sup>1</sup> He now moves through counsel to hold Brown's motion in abeyance until the Sixth Circuit releases its *en banc* opinion in *United States v. McCall*. Because *McCall* will guide the Court's analysis, the Court **GRANTS** Goodwin's motion.

Goodwin pleaded guilty to one controlled-substance conspiracy charge. The Court sentenced him to 130 months' incarceration and entered judgment on March 10, 2015.<sup>2</sup>

Goodwin's motion argues, among other things, that he would not be sentenced as a career offender today.<sup>3</sup> He now represents that he intends to supplement his compassionate-release motion with argument that a nonretroactive sentencing-law change creates an extraordinary and compelling reason to reduce his sentence.<sup>4</sup>

The Court recently ordered Goodwin's co-defendant's compassionate-release motion held in abeyance pending the *en banc McCall* decision.<sup>5</sup> As the Court explained, the Sixth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Doc. 1314.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Doc. 624 at 2 (PageID 3897).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Doc. 1314 at 2 (PageID 8225).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Doc. 1344.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Doc. 1338.

Case: 1:14-cr-00214-JG Doc #: 1345 Filed: 10/17/22 2 of 2. PageID #: 8444

Case No. 14-cr-214-20 Gwin, J.

Circuit's ruling will clarify conflicting panel decisions about how nonretroactive sentencinglaw changes affect compassionate-release motions.<sup>6</sup>

So, the Court **GRANTS** Goodwin's motion to hold his compassionate-release motion in abeyance until after the Sixth Circuit issues its *en banc* decision in *United States v. McCall*. The Court directs the parties to advise the Court of any Sixth Circuit *McCall* decision within five days of the filing of any Sixth Circuit opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: October 17, 2022

s/ James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See id.